Clouds & Radiation: Climate data vs. model results A tribute to ISCCP

Ehrhard Raschke, University of Hamburg Stefan Kinne, MPI-Meteorology Hamburg

What is the forcing of this system and of its environment and how does it change? How can we "measure" it?

 $C_{2,1}$, $C_{2,1}^{(2)}$

ISCCP "pixel"

During the early eighties we knew … :

Clouds (and aerosols) form in the atmosphere "dynamic clusters" of solid and liquid particles

of different horizontal and vertical extent and "life time". These properties are forced by radiation and by internally and externally forced turbulence of different scales in time and space.

Computations of cloud interaction with radiation need information in high spatial and time detail

on

and on radiative properties of the ambient atmosphere and surface.

This information must be retrieved from

(all) available

remote measurements.

But, how??

The major processes participating in cloudradiation interactions are understood. But many details are still missing in circulation models.

From Simmons & Bengtsson, 1984, in Houghton, ed. "The Global Climate"

Houghton, ed., "The Global Climate"

Clouds effect not only the radiation budget a surface.

Vertical distributions of heating rates attributable to various transports during NH summer:

a.) zonal averages

b.) along 25°N over Saudi Arabia, Arabian Sea and the Bay of Bengal

Radiation fields can be measured at TOA but must be computed at all atmospheric layers using additional "ancillary" data.

BUT:

Quality of all radiation products depends on the quality of such input data:

- Cloud field properties

- Ancillary data on other properties of atmosphere and surface

What are they?

These variables are all subject of errors !

CLOUDS ! hey enhance emission and reduce solar flux to ground.

Clouds reduce emission and enhance reflection to space;

Comparison of annual, global averages of the cloud effect (in Wm-2):

upward fluxes at TOA (-) downward fluxes at surface (+)

Cloud effect (CE) = cloudy - clear

Cloud amounts:

low & high

Information is needed on the atmospheric structure.

ISCCP: Surface reflectance & temperature, water vapor & air temperature are the most important ancillary data. http://ISCCP.giss.nasa.g

Uncertainties in ancillary and in cloud data can propagate into all computations of the radiation products. For example:

Total net radiation at surface Total net radiation at TOA

CE on solar vertical radiative flux divergence, computed in ISCCP, 1991 to 1995

1991 - 1995

Raschke et al., 2005, IJClim.

Comparison: ISCCP, SRB, CERES, IPCC-models

CERES flux

diff to ISCCP **03/2000 – 02/2004**

IPCC-AR4 median minus ISCCP; 84-95

IPCC models

IPCC-AR4 median minus CERES

IPCC models

standard deviation fields

20 IPCC models 84-95

Cloudy sky multi-annual (1984-1995) infrared radiative flux divergence for climatologies (ISCCP, CERES, SRB) and IPCC-4AR model median of 20 models.

All values should be considered to be negative, since the atmosphere is radiatively cooled.

ISC/CER/SRB/IPCC models

Annual clear sky absorption of solar radiation within the atmosphere

Annual cloudy sky absorption of solar radiation within the atmosphere

The role of aerosols

Aerosol optical depth (global average over oceans) from ISCCP radiance data seems to decrease after 1995.

(Mishchenko et al. 2007)

Deseasonalised vs. 1985-1988

Clear Cloudy

Can we correctly compute the incoming solar radiation at a spherical shell at about 50 km distance from the Earth's surface, the "top of the atmosphere" ?

Monthly averages of the differences to ISCCP values of zonal averages of the daily insolation as computed for the SRB climatology (thinner lines) and the CERES climatology (thicker lines), respectively. Note these differences are proportional to the radial velocity of the Earth's orbit. Curves for the years 2001, 2002 and 2003 are in red, green and blue, respectively.

Conclusions:

Huge progress has been made during the past 25 years, but we are still far away to find an "acceptable" agreement between measured and **modeled cloud and radiation fields due to "errors" on both sides. We therefore call for**

a.) "re-analyses" and verification of "measured" cloud and radiation fields and provision of the results on at least a monthly basis (ISCCP, SRB, CERES, others?);

b.) updating of all (!) ancillary data, in particular of surface properties before used again;

c.) use of same Total Solar Irradiance models and same models for spatial distribution over the Earth;

d.) repetition of the IPCC modeling over a prescribed period (e.g. 20 years) with well and uniquely defined surface conditions (e.g. surface albedo);

Research should be encouraged to "transit" to higher spatial and spectral resolutions and include information on vertical structures from direct sounders.

We still need to learn more about data uncertainties and how to avoid them !

> **Claudia's and other assessment reports**

Many Thanks

U

ISC/CER/SRB/IPCC models

ISC/CER/SRB/IPCC models

R - net gain / net loss

ISC /CER /SRB /IPCC-mod

M

ISC/CER/SRB/IPCC models

SRB flux

diff to ISCCP

A = Incident solar at TOA, X = Effective surface albedo, E = Emission from surface, D = Outgoing solar at TOA, F = Outgoing infrared at TOA, Dd = CE on outgoing solar at TOA, Bb = CE on downward solar at surface, Ff = CE on outgoing infrared at TOA, Hh = CE on downward infrared at surface